PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/L2005/000442 28.04.2005 29.04.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A61N1/36, A61B5/11, A61B5/0488, A61H1/02 Applicant REABILITY INC. 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion ☐ Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No, IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☑ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA: Authorized Officer

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Fischer, O

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2327



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL2005/000442

*****	Во	x No	. I Basis of the opinion
1.	Wit the	th reg	gard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in uage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		lang	s opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search der Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	Wit ned	th reg	pard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. t	уре с	of material:
			a sequence listing
			table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. f	orma	t of material:
		□ i	n written format
		□ i	n computer readable form
	c. t	ime c	of filling/furnishing:
	ļ		contained in the international application as filed.
		☐ f	iled together with the international application in computer readable form.
	. j	□ f	urnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		has copi	ddition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional les is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ropriate, were furnished.
4.	Add	lition	al comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL2005/000442

	x No. III Non-establishment oplicability	of op	oinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial
Th ob	e questions whether the claimed vious), or to be industrially applic	inve able	ntion appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non have not been examined in respect of:
	the entire international applicat	ion,	
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 33		
be	cause:		
\boxtimes			the said claims Nos. 33 relate to the following subject matter which eliminary examination (specify):
	see separate sheet		
	the description, claims or draw unclear that no meaningful opin		(indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so could be formed (specify):
	the claims, or said claims Nos. could be formed.	are :	so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion
\boxtimes	no international search report f	nas b	een established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 33
	the nucleotide and/or amino ac C of the Administrative Instruct		quence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex in that:
	the written form		has not been furnished
			does not comply with the standard
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished
			does not comply with the standard
	the tables related to the nucleo not comply with the technical re	tide : equin	and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do ements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.
	See separate sheet for further	detai	ils

Re Item III.

No search has ben carried out (Rule 39.1(iv) PCT) for claim 33, since it relates to a method for treatment of the human or animal body by therapy. Indeed, claim 33 pertains to the delivery of a therapeutic neuromuscular stimulation signal to the human body. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the subject-matter of this claim (Article 34(4)(a)(i) PCT).

Re Item V.

1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO 02/092164 A (FONDATION SUISSE POUR LES CYBERTHESES; BRODARD, ROLAND; CLAVEL, REYMON) 21 November 2002

D2: US 5 466 213 A (HOGAN ET AL) 14 November 1995

D3: US 4 499 900 A (PETROFSKY ET AL) 19 February 1985 D4: US 4 724 842 A (CHARTERS ET AL) 16 February 1988

- 2. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 2.1 Document D1 (see in particular figs. 1-4) discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document) an apparatus for muscle activation comprising:
 - at least one electrode (37, 38) adapted to deliver a neuromuscular stimulation signal to a body portion;
 - at least one controller (31) adapted to provide a NMES signal comprising a sequence of stimulation signals to said at least one electrode; and
 - a mechanical motion element (2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 20, 21, 22) coupled to at least one of said body portion and a mirror body portion,
 - wherein said mechanical motion element is operatively coupled to said at least one controller (see fig. 4) and wherein said at least one controller controls said NMES signal in conjunction with said mechanical motion element (p. 12, 2nd paragraph and p. 21, second and third paragraphs).

- 2.2 D2 (see in particular fig. 14) also anticipates the subject-matter of claim 1, since it discloses an apparatus for muscle activation comprising:
 - at least one electrode (E1, E2) adapted to deliver a neuromuscular stimulation signal to a body portion;
 - at least one controller (AB, 32) adapted to provide a NMES signal comprising a sequence of stimulation signals to said at least one electrode; and
 - a mechanical motion element (10) coupled to at least one of said body portion and a mirror body portion,
 - wherein said mechanical motion element is operatively coupled to said at least one controller and wherein said at least one controller controls said NMES signal in conjunction with said mechanical motion element (col. 6, l. 54-59).
- 2.3 Further, the subject-matter of claim 1 lacks novelty in view of D3 (see abstract and figs. 1-2) and D4 (see abstract and figs. 1-2).
- 3. Dependent claims 2-32 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step, the reasons being as follows:
- 3.1 D1 anticipates the subject-matter of following claims (Article 33 (2) PCT):
 - Claims 1-5: in D1, the mechanical motion element moves, guides and measures motion of the body portion (first leg) and the mirror body portion (second leg), which motion is in response to said NMES sequence.
 - Claim 6: the controller of D1 is programmable, so a programmer is implicitly disclosed in D1.
 - Claim 7: in D1, a closed loop feedback control for booth the mechanical motion and the EMG controlled stimulation is effected: hence a sequence optimisation is also disclosed.
 - Claims 11-15: see D1, p. 21, second and third paragraphs.
 - Claim 17: see memory (39) of D1.
 - Claims 18-20: in D1, the operator can modify the stimulation sequence as desired (see p. 19, 4th paragraph p. 20, 3rd paragraph).
 - Claim 22: force sensor (35) in D1.
 - Claim 28: in D1, one can choose from stimulation only, stimulation assisted

rehabilitation and "mechanical" rehabilitation only (see p. 21, last paragraph - p. 23, 3rd paragraph): therefore, the controller can act independently of the mechanical motion element.

- Claim 29: in D1, the electrodes are placed on the thighs and on the legs (see fig. 4), hence the NMES sequence is for application to at least two muscles.
- Claim 31: mechanical motion elements (2, 3, 4) and motors (20, 21, 22) of D1 represent a robotic actuator (see also p. 15, l. 2).
- 3.2 The features of claims 8-10, 21, 23-27, 30 and 32 represent slight constructional changes from the known devices which come within the scope of the customary practice followed by persons skilled in the art, especially as the advantages thus achieved can readily be foreseen. Moreover, these features concern straightforward possibilities from which the skilled person would select, in accordance with circumstances, without the exercise of inventive skill, in order to improve the known devices.

Consequently, the subject-matter of these claims lacks an inventive step (Article 33 (3) PCT.

Re Item VI.

Certain published documents

Application No Patent No Publication date (day/month/year)

Filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (valid claim) (day/month/year)

WO 2004/050172

17.06.2004

03.12.2003

04.12.2003

This document could become relevant for novelty in further proceedings before the EPO.

Re Item VII.

1. Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the documents D1 and D2 is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IL2005/000442

2. The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

	EXAMINING AUTHORITY		PCT	
FENSTER, Paul et al. FENSTER & COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY P.O. Box 10256 IL-49002 Petach Tikva		NOTIFICATION OF RECEIPT OF DEMAND BY COMPETENT INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY (PCT Rules 59.3(e) and 61.1(b), first sentence and Administrative Instructions, Section 601(a))		
·		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	21-03-2006	
Applicant's or agent's file reference 414/04493		ІМРО	RTANT NOTIFICATION	
International application No. PCT/IL2005/00044	International filing date 2 28/04/2005		Priority date (day/month/year) 29/04/2004	
Applicant REABILITY INC. et	al.			
. The applicant is hereby notified date of receipt of the demand f	or international preliminary ex	nary Examining Autho amination of the intern	rity considers the following date as the ational application:	
the actual date of retained the actual date of retained the actual date of retained the date on which the	eccipt of the demand by this A eccipt of the demand on behalf this Authority has, in response 404), received the required cor	of this Authority (Ruk		
the actual date of rethe actual date of rethe actual date of rethe date on which the form PCT/IPEA/ ATTENTION: That date of some Offices, the dem the priority date (or later performed within 20 more).	eceipt of the demand on behalf this Authority has, in response (404), received the required cor e of receipt is after the expiration and does not have the effect of r in some Offices) (Article 39(1) nths from the priority date (or	of this Authority (Rule to the invitation to corrections. on of 19 months from to postponing the entry is and the acts for entry later in some Offices).	he priority date. Consequently, in respect nto the national phase until 30 months from y into the national phase must therefore be however, in respect of some other Offices.	
the actual date of rethe actual date of rethe date on which the date on which the form PCT/IPEA/ ATTENTION: That date of some Offices, the dem the priority date (or later performed within 20 months time limit of 30 months applicable time limits, Officernet site.	eceipt of the demand on behalf this Authority has, in response (404), received the required core of receipt is after the expirational does not have the effect or in some Offices) (Article 39(1) on this from the priority date (or this (or later) may nevertheless ffice by Office, see the PCT Ap	of this Authority (Rule to the invitation to corrections. on of 19 months from the postponing the entry is and the acts for entry later in some Offices), apply. See the Annex the plicant's Guide, Volume	he priority date. Consequently, in respect nto the national phase until 30 months from a into the national phase must therefore be however, in respect of some other Offices, of Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the	
the actual date of rethe actual date of rethe date on which the date on which the form PCT/IPEA/ 3. ATTENTION: That date of some Offices, the dem the priority date (or later performed within 20 monthe time limit of 30 mon applicable time limits, Officernet site. (If applicable) This on:	eceipt of the demand on behalf this Authority has, in response (404), received the required core of receipt is after the expirational does not have the effect or in some Offices) (Article 39(1) on this from the priority date (or this (or later) may nevertheless ffice by Office, see the PCT Ap	of this Authority (Rule to the invitation to corrections. on of 19 months from to postponing the entry in and the acts for entry later in some Offices). apply. See the Annex to plicant's Guide, Volume of the plicant's guident by teleptons.	he priority date. Consequently, in respect not the national phase until 30 months from y into the national phase must therefore be However, in respect of some other Offices, o Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the II, National Chapters and the WIPO	
the actual date of rethe actual date of rethe date on which the date on which the form PCT/IPEA/ 3. ATTENTION: That date of some Offices, the dem the priority date (or later performed within 20 monthe time limit of 30 mon applicable time limits, Officernet site. (If applicable) This on:	eceipt of the demand on behalf this Authority has, in response (404), received the required core of receipt is after the expirational does not have the effect of in some Offices) (Article 39(1) on this from the priority date (or this (or later) may nevertheless ffice by Office, see the PCT Apples of the property of the information of the property of this notification lies, a copy of this notification lies, a copy of this notification lies.	of this Authority (Rule to the invitation to corrections. on of 19 months from to postponing the entry in and the acts for entry later in some Offices). apply. See the Annex to plicant's Guide, Volume of the plicant's guident by teleptons.	he priority date. Consequently, in respect into the national phase until 30 months from into the national phase must therefore be However, in respect of some other Offices, o Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the II, National Chapters and the WIPO	